Consortium for Information & Software Quality™

Quality in the Digital Age: CISQ and the Role of the SI in the Software Supply Chain

David Norton, Executive Director

October 30, 2019

©2019 CISQ

CEOs are Paying the Price for Poor IT Quality

"British Airways' chief executive Álex Cruz says he will not resign despite a "catastrophic" IT system failure that grounded scores of flights"



Paul Pester forced to stepping down as CEO of TSB after the disruption caused to millions of customers by the bank's, very public, failed IT upgrade.

Former Equifax CEO Richard Smith says he is "deeply sorry" for the security breach in which sensitive personal information of as many as 143 million Americans was compromised.







Quality Starts With The System Integrator, They Build The Foundation Digital Business Is Based On





Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities That Find Their Way Into Products & Services Have Devastating Effects





Self-Regulation Is Failing, and The Pressure Is Building In The Boardroom

Angry Citizen, Markets & Business







Lead To Concerned Politicians







Recrimination In The Boardroom







If The CIO Has To Thrown Someone To The Wolves Its Normally The External – Rightly or Wrongly



Banks blame 3rd party software for outage

SI let us down, sorry

It was not us, it was them



SI and Outsourcing Generally Is Feeling The Pressure – Not Just Because Of Quality & Cyber

- Over 60% of contracts renegotiated
- Over a 1/3 cancelled outright
- In-sourcing is on the rise
- SI losing out to SaaS



But Wait.....



IT DOES NOT HAVE TO BE THIS WAY



SIs Do Not Have To Be At The Back Of The Line

Leadership



Quality and Resilience This Way



SIs Should Be Proactively Using & Developing New Quality Practices and Standards To Support Digital



Building A Foundation Quality Standards That Fit Modern Methods and Architecture

Quality Standards That Are:



- Automated
- Product focused vs project
- Support Event and API
 Architecture
- Integrated into DevOps & DevSecOps toolchain



Start With CISQ Structural Quality Metrics

CISQ Structural Quality Measures



An international team of experts selected the weaknesses to include in CISQ measures based on the severity of their impact on operational problems or cost of ownership.

Only weaknesses considered severe enough that they must be remediated were included in the CISQ measures.

CISQ Structural Quality measures are currently being extended to embedded systems software.



Example architectural and coding weaknesses included in the CISQ

Benefits To The SI In Using Current CISQ Quality Standards

- Lower the amount of rework and by doing so improve customer relationships
- Lower indemnity risk and cost to the end customer
- Increase velocity and shorten lead-time without sacrificing quality or increasing risk
- Reduce technical debt for individual applications and portfolios leading to greater productivity
- Increase the size of the portfolio that can be developed and maintained without increasing headcount - more revenue and bigger margin



Building A Trust Relationship Based On Standards





Embed Software Quality & Sizing Standards Into Request For Proposal or Quotes





Embed Software Quality & Sizing Standards Into Request For Proposal or Quotes

Sample RFP



CISQ has been referenced by the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA), formally citing CISQ requirements in a Information Technology (IT) statement of work from the Office of the CIO for the Office of Public Buildings. GSA is an independent agency of the U.S. government that supports general services of Federal agencies.

See page 21, section 5.9 in GSA's document, Schedule 70 Blank Purchase Agreement for IT and Development Services...

"PB-ITS (Project Based IT Services) is seeking to establish code quality standards for its existing code base, as well as new development tasks. As an emerging standard, PB-ITS references the Consortium for Information Software Quality (CISQ) for guidance on how to measure, evaluate and improve software."



Agree Productivity Levels With Suppliers Based On Automated Sizing Code – Combine With Manual Sizing Of Requirement





Embed The Agreed Sizing Method and Productivity Into The Statements of Work





Embed The Agreed Sizing Method and Productivity Into The Statements of Work

- 1. Contracting and Productivity
- 1. Productivity

The contracted is based on a bases level of productivity of **18 Function Pointers per Staff Month** ^[1]. A staff month is defined as 22 days per calendar month, 8 hours per day, equalling 176 working hours per month.

Attentively the contracted is based on a bases level of productivity of 9.5 hours per function point ^[1].

1. Rate

The supplier shall invoice at a rate of \in 300 ^[2] per function point delivered to the client as measured by ISO 19515 Information technology — Object Management Group Automated Function Points (AFP), 1.0 defined in section 3.4

Exceptions to the rate and activities that will not be invoiced by function point must be agreed in advance of contract signing.



Suppliers Should Be Ready To Developer To The Standards





Suppliers Should Be Ready To Developer To The Standards







©2019 CISQ

Suppliers Teams Should Use Tools That Support CISQ AFP/ISO Sizing Standards

avaJava.com Web Tutorials - Eclipse							
J) Te	stServlet.jav	va 🛛				
111	1	package	e my;				
	2						
	30	import	java.io.IOException;				
	4						
	5	import	javax.servlet.Servlet;				
	6	import	javax.servlet.ServletException;				
	7	import	javax.servlet.http.HttpServlet;				
	8	import	javax.servlet.http.HttpServletRequest;				
	9	import	javax.servlet.http.HttpServletResponse;				
	10						
	11	public	class TestServlet extends HttpServlet implements Servlet {				
	12	sta	atic final long serialVersionUID = 1L;				
	13						
	140	pub	<pre>plic TestServlet() {</pre>				
	15		<pre>super();</pre>				
	16	}					
	17						
	189	pro	Diedied vold doget (HitpServietRequest request,				
	19		<pre>httpServietkesponse response) throws ServietException, IOExce deBoot (newcode newcode);</pre>	eption {			
	20	,	dorost(request, response);				
	21	1					
	22 22		steated woid doDoat (MttpSarw]atDaguest reguest				
	23 24	pro	HttpServletDegnonge regnonge) throws ServletEvcention IOEvce	ntion /			
	25		regnonse getWriter() println("hlab"):	peron (
	26	1	response.geomrader().printin(bian),				
	27	3					
		·					
H	ow i	do Lorea:	ate a profile to format lava code in Eclipse?				

Automatic Analysis Of The Size Of The Code In Function Points



Team Dashboards Should Clearly Show The Size Of Code Developed and Enhanced

Application Overview	eCommerce Automated Function Points (AFP)	୍ଟ 🔇 cio
• Size Indicators	Version : 1.2.3 - November 13 2012 👻	
+ Health Factors	AFP EFP	
≡ ⊂ Rules Compliance	1.070 Added 22 609	
Modules Treemap	Deleted / COC	
f_{x} Function Points	Function Points Information	
<i>f_X</i> Function Points ▲ Evolutions	Function Points Information	VALUE 🚫
<i>f</i>_X Function Points✓ Evolutions	Function Points Information NAME ② Number of Automated Function Points (AFP)	VALUE 📀 1,070 -
<i>f</i>_X Function Points✓ Evolutions	Function Points Information NAME Number of Automated Function Points (AFP) Number of Data Function Points	VALUE (\$) 1,070 - 457
<i>f</i>_X Function Points✓ Evolutions	Function Points Information NAME Number of Automated Function Points (AFP) Number of Data Function Points Number of Transactional Function Points	VALUE (\$) 1,070 457 613
<i>f</i>_X Function Points✓ Evolutions	Function Points Information NAME Number of Automated Function Points (AFP) Number of Data Function Points Number of Transactional Function Points Number of Transactional Function Points Number of Decision Points (Cyclomatic Complexity)	VALUE () 1,070 457 613 30,006
<i>f</i>_X Function Points✓ Evolutions	Function Points Information NAME Number of Automated Function Points (AFP) Number of Data Function Points Number of Transactional Function Points Number of Transactional Function Points Number of Decision Points (Cyclomatic Complexity) Number of Critical Violations	VALUE () 1,070 457 613 30,006 1,620
<i>f</i>_ℓ Function Points✓ Evolutions	NAME Image: State St	VALUE () 1,070 457 613 30,006 1,620 1.51



Teams Are Still Free To Use Agile & DevOps Story Point Sizing, Automated Function Points Counted In The Background





Do Not Just Focus On Size of The Code, Verify The Quality – Automatically





Do Not Just Focus On Size of The Code, Verify The Quality – Automatically



Consortium for Information & Software Quality

Suppliers Teams Should Verify Code Quality, and Check For Vulnerabilities Against CISQ Standards

 Security: Measures weaknesses in source code representing the most exploited security weaknesses in software including the CWE/Sans Institute Top 25 Most Dangerous Security Errors and OWASP Top 10
 Reliability: Measures weaknesses in source code impacting the availability, fault tolerance, and recoverability of software

•<u>Performance Efficiency</u>: Measures weaknesses in source code impacting response time and utilization of processor, memory, and other resources

•<u>Maintainability</u>: Measures weaknesses in source code impacting the comprehensibility, changeability, testability, and scalability of software

•<u>Technical Debt</u>: A measure of corrective maintenance effort due to the CISQ code quality weaknesses remaining in a software application





End to End Trust Relationship Based On Standards



And If It Goes Wrong, CISQ Standards Become Your Armor From Friendly Fire



Excuse "It's the SI's poor code"



Excuse "SI quality is inconsistent"

Excuse "SI is not proactive"



By Engaging With CISQ, You...

- Collaborate on vision for Digital Quality
- Direct input into the development of standards
- Benefits from CISQ related PR
- Demonstrate leadership with customers and prospects
- Influence policy makers and regulators



And The Benefits Of the CISQ and OMG Standards Process – Months Not Years





Next Generation Digital Quality Standards -Engage





David Norton Executive Director david.norton@it-cisq.org